

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/797,753	Applicant(s) HE ET AL.	
	Examiner Yuriy Semenenko	Art Unit 2841	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Yuriy Semenenko.

 (3) James J. Namiki.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See next page.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



RANDY W. GIBSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicant's representative was advised that if the assembly, the system and the methods claims are amended to include the limitations of the apparatus claims (so that they are allowable for the same reason), they will be rejoined at allowance.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment according with amendment sent by Fax (see Fax attached) was given by applicant's representative.